

Scientific Transactions in Environment and Technovation

J. Sci. Trans. Environ. Technov. 2008, 1(3): 139-143

# Effect of spacing and nutrients on the phenotypic characters, flowering and bulb characters in multiplier onion (*Allium cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don.)

S. Sendur Kumaran<sup>1</sup>, S. Muthuramalingam<sup>2</sup>, I. Muthuvel<sup>3</sup> and S. Easwaran<sup>4</sup>

## Abstract

Trials were conducted at Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore and Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kundrakudi to study the effects of different spacing and nutrient levels on *Allium cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don. with respect to phenotypic characters such as plant height, number of leaves and number of shoots, days taken for flower initiation, days to 50 per cent flowering, shape index, bulb diameter and bulb length. Three spacings *viz.*,  $45 \times 5 \text{ cm}$  (M1),  $45 \times 10 \text{ cm}$  (M2), and  $45 \times 15 \text{ cm}$  (M3) formed as main plot (M) treatments. The sub plot treatments (S) consisted of 10 combinations involving three levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus (20,40 and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), constant level of K (30 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) along with FYM (Farm Yard Maure) at 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Azospirillum* at 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and *Phosphobacteria* at 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. A control without nutrients was also adopted. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with 10 treatments replicated thrice. The maximum plant height (48.5 cm) at 100<sup>th</sup> and 135<sup>th</sup> day of sowing with the application of 60:60:30 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> along with FYM at 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Azospirillum* at 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and *Phosphobacteria* of  $45 \times 5$  cm. The increase in length of bulb and shape index was higher at closest spacing of  $45 \times 5$  cm, whereas, the wider spacing of  $45 \times 15$  cm registered the greater bulb diameter. The treatments had significant influence on the bulb characters, which increased with increasing level of nutrients. Spacing and  $45 \times 15$  cm registered the greater bulb diameter. The treatments had no influence on the bulb characters, which increased with increasing level of nutrients. Spacing and  $45 \times 15$  cm registered the greater bulb diameter. The treatments had no influence on the days taken for flower initiation and days to 50 per cent flowering. Higher bulb length (5.70 cm) and shape index (1.09 %) was recorded from the application of 60: 60: 30 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Azospirillum* @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and *Phosphobacteria* @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with the closest spacing of

Keywords: aggregatum onion, planting density, bulb development, flowering, spacing, nutrients

## INTRODUCTION

Aggregatum onion (Allium cepa L. var. aggregatum) is an unique pungent spice crop. It requires large quantities of readily available nutrients (Patil et al., 1984). An optimum plant density is important for the higher utilization of applied nutrients (Pall and Padda, 1972). Study of the responses of aggregatum onion to population density and various levels of nutrients would provide a basis for assessing the optimum plant density and levels of nutrients. Further, earliness in flowering would help reduce duration of the cropping period and so it could be an idicator of positive response of the plant. The present study was conducted to find out the responses of aggregatum onion to different spacing and levels of organic, inorganic nutrient sources and biofertilizers with regard to plant height, number of leaves, number of shoots, days taken for flower initiation, days to 50 per cent flowering, bulb diameter, bulb length and shape index.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted at Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore and Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kundrakudi, Tamil Nadu, South India during June to September 2006 in split plot design with 10 treatments replicated thrice. The spacing *viz.*, 45 x 5 cm (M1), 45 x 10 cm (M2) and 45 x 15 cm (M3) were the main plot treatments. The subplot (S) treatments

\*Corresponding author

consisted of 10 combinations and were formulated as follows

- S1 Control No organic manure, no biofertilizer and no inorganic fertilizers.
- S2 60:60:30 NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> (Recommended doses of fertilizers)[RDF]
- S3 60: 60: 30 kg NPK + 25 t FYM + 2 kg Azospirillum + 2 kg phosphobacteria ha  $^{-1}$
- S4 40: 60: 30 kg NPK + 25 t FYM + 2 kg Azospirillum + 2 kg Phosphobacteria ha  $^{-1}$
- S5 20: 60: 30 kg NPK + 25 t FYM + 2 kg Azospirillum + 2 kg Phosphobacteria ha  $^{-1}$
- S6 60: 60: 30 kg NPK + 2 kg Azospirillum + 2 kg Phosphobacteria ha <sup>-1</sup>
- S7 60: 20: 30 kg NPK + 2 kg Azospirillum + 2 kg Phosphobacteria ha $^{-1}$
- S8 40: 40: 30 kg NPK + 2 kg Azospirillum + 2 kg Phosphobacteria ha $^{-1}$
- S9 20: 20: 30 kg NPK + 2 kg Azospirillum + 2 kg Phosphobacteria ha $^{-1}$
- S10 Organic alone (25t FYM + 2 kg *Azospirillum* + 2 kg *Phosphobacteria* ha <sup>-1</sup>
- (NPK- Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium; FYM Farm Yard Manure)

Farm Yard Manure (FYM) @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Azospirillum* and *Phosphobacteria* each @ 2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> were applied during

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kundrakudi-630 206, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4.</sup> Directorate of Extension Education, TNAU, Coimbatore 641 003. Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3.</sup> Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Sirugamani, Tamil Nadu, India

email: ĥortisendur@yahoo.co.in

field preparations. Five randomly selected plants in each replication were tagged for recording observations. The height of the plant, number of leaves and number of suckers were counted on 65<sup>th</sup>, 100<sup>th</sup> and 135<sup>th</sup> day of sowing. The mean data were statistically analysed. Further, observations were recorded and statistically analyzed for the traits on days taken for flower initiation, days to 50 per cent flowering, shape index, bulb diameter and bulb length .

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results on the Effect of plant density and nutrients on phenotypic characters in seed propagated multiplier onion (*Allium cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don.) at three stages *viz.*, 65<sup>th</sup>, 100<sup>th</sup> and 135<sup>th</sup> day of sowing are presented in the tables 1, 2 and 3.

The results in the tables 1, 2 and 3 revealed that the closer spacing of 45 X 5 cm registered the tallest plants irrespective of stages *viz.*,  $65^{th}$ ,  $100^{th}$  and  $135^{th}$  day of sowing. Further, the plant height showed an increasing trend with increase in the level of nutrients. The interaction effect revealed that application of 60:60:  $30 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1}$  along with FYM @ 25t ha  $^{-1}$ , *Azospirillum* @ 2 kg ha  $^{-1}$  and *Phosphobacteria* @ 2 kg ha  $^{-1}$  with closest spacing of 45 x 5 cm recorded the higher plant height

**Table 1** Effect of spacing and nutrients on plant height (cm) at three stages of aggregatum onion, *A. cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don.

Treat		65 <sup>th</sup>	day			100 <sup>t</sup>	<sup>h</sup> day		135 <sup>th</sup> day				
ments	M1	M 2	M3	Mean	M1	M 2	M3	Mean	M1	M 2	M3	Mean	
S1	14.12	14.92	10.19	13.08	20.17	18.93	15.25	18.11	29.11	28.35	26.20	27.89	
S2	17.04	18.01	15.08	16.74	25.17	22.18	19.92	22.42	35.38	33.35	32.46	33.73	
S3	23.97	21.53	20.60	22.03	35.17	32.77	30.31	32.75	48.53	46.12	44.79	46.48	
S4	22.67	20.28	19.54	20.83	32.65	30.15	28.23	30.46	45.87	43.75	42.58	44.07	
S5	22.62	20.51	19.61	20.91	30.52	28.85	26.37	28.58	44.63	41.14	40.41	42.06	
S6	21.49	19.14	18.41	19.68	28.44	26.38	24.08	26.30	40.94	38.45	37.39	38.93	
S7	21.54	19.11	18.63	19.76	27.61	25.26	23.33	25.40	38.53	36.50	35.22	36.75	
<b>S</b> 8	19.30	18.09	16.29	17.89	26.33	23.33	21.02	23.52	37.67	35.89	34.56	36.04	
S9	16.43	17.19	13.33	15.65	24.63	21.27	18.96	21.62	31.13	32.40	30.55	32.36	
S10	15.08	15.96	12.04	14.36	23.01	20.01	15.93	19.65	30.39	30.37	28.44	29.73	
Mean	19.44	18.47	16.37	18.09	27.37	24.93	22.34	24.88	38.52	36.63	35.26	36.80	
	SE	CD			SE	CD			SE	CD			
S	0.03	NS			0.05	0.12			0.05	0.13			
Μ	0.17	NS			0.28	0.56			0.31	0.63			
S X M	0.30	NS			0.24	1.00			0.55	NS			
M X S	0.29	NS			0.46	0.93			0.46	NS			

Main Plot – Spacing (M) Sub Plot – Nutrients (S) NS - Not Significant (p > 0.05)

**Table 2** Effect of spacing and nutrients on number of leaves at three stages of aggregatum onion, *A. cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don.

Treat		65 <sup>th</sup>	day			100 <sup>t</sup>	<sup>h</sup> day		135 <sup>th</sup> day				
ments	M1	M 2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean	
S1	10.03	10.89	12.36	11.09	12.15	12.96	14.43	13.18	19.31	21.93	25.54	22.26	
S2	11.92	13.01	12.07	12.33	15.93	17.49	19.54	17.65	26.14	28.05	29.06	27.75	
<b>S</b> 3	17.06	17.67	18.71	17.81	28.75	30.67	32.69	30.70	4089	42.77	44.53	42.73	
S4	15.53	16.33	17.63	16.49	26.19	28.01	30.40	28.23	36.25	38.36	39.99	38.20	
S5	15.07	16.42	17.37	16.28	23.94	26.67	28.41	26.34	34013	36.80	38.64	36.52	
S6	14.44	15.22	16.21	15.29	23.06	24.89	27.04	25.00	33.06	34.13	37.03	34.74	
S7	14.18	14.98	16.32	15.16	22.74	22.93	25.41	23.69	30.36	33.49	36.41	33.42	
<b>S</b> 8	13.14	14.43	15.60	14.39	20.70	21.09	23.00	2.60	26.99	32.06	34.79	31.28	
S9	13.27	14.17	15.11	14.18	17.53	18.37	19.98	18.63	26.45	30.42	32.90	29.92	
S10	12.96	11.93	12.92	12.60	11.89	15.33	15.94	14.39	24.26	25.84	28.37	26.16	
Mean	13.76	14.50	15.43	14.56	20.29	21.85	23.68	21.94	29.78	32.38	34.73	32.30	
	SE	CD			SE	CD			SE	CD			
S	0.51	NS			0.05	0.13			0.22	0.53			
М	0.28	NS			0.10	0.20			0.38	0.78			
S X M	0.49	NS			0.55	1.12			0.67	2.04			
MXS	0.46	NS			0.58	1.05			0.64	1.28			

Main Plot – Spacing (M) Sub Plot – Nutrients (S) NS - Not Significant (p > 0.05)

**Table 3** Effect of spacing and nutrients on number of suckers per side shoots at 3 stages of aggregatum onion, *A. cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don.

Treat	65 <sup>th</sup> day					10	0 <sup>th</sup> day		135 <sup>th</sup> day				
ments	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean	
S1	1.20	1.11	1.39	1.23	2.17	1.95	4.25	2.79	2.09	2.03	5.35	3.15	
S2	2.26	2.12	2.28	2.22	4.01	4.19	7.25	5015	5.11	5.06	8.06	6.07	
S3	3.42	3.63	3.66	3.57	7.72	8.83	10.84	9.13	8.81	10.81	12.86	10.82	
S4	2.20	3.22	3.38	2.93	6.46	7.56	9.56	7.86	7.25	8.63	11.53	9.13	
S5	2.27	2.38	3.27	2.64	6.13	7.28	9.27	7.56	6.95	8.38	11.27	8.86	
S6	2.07	2.37	2.17	2.20	5.35	6.39	8.26	6.67	6.25	7.43	10.41	8.03	
S7	1.17	2.14	2.22	1.84	5.17	6.05	8.10	6.44	6.13	7.04	10.24	7.80	
S8	1.22	2.02	2.08	1.77	4.19	5.54	6.19	5.31	5.44	6.29	9.14	6.95	
S9	2.37	2.41	2.02	2.26	3.88	3.12	5.16	4.05	3.44	4.41	7.26	5.003	
S10	1.09	2.22	1.05	1.45	2.23	2.23	4.13	2.86	2.17	3.07	6.17	3.80	
Mean	1.92	2.36	2.35	2.21	4.73	5.31	7.30	5.78	5.36	6.31	9.22	6.96	
	SE	CD			SE	CD			SE	CD			
S	0.01	NS			0.01	0.04			0.02	0.06			
М	0.07	NS			0.10	0.21			0.14	0.28			
S X M	0.12	NS			0.18	NS			0424	0.50			
MXS	0.11	NS			0.17	NS			0.23	0.46			

Main Plot – Spacing (M)

g (M) Sub Plot – Nutrients (S)

ts (S) NS - Not Significant (p > 0.05)

(35.17 cm and 48.5 cm at 100<sup>th</sup> and 135<sup>th</sup> days of sowing, respectively).

Accordingly, the height of the plant was found to be maximum at closer spacing of  $45 \times 5$  cm at  $100^{\text{th}}$  and  $135^{\text{th}}$  day of sowing. The closer spacing would have led to the greater inter competition among plants for available nutrients and thereby encouraged the apical dominance resulting in the tallest plants as reported by Pall and Padda, (1972) for onion and Banko(1984) for ornamentals.

An improvement in plant height was more pronounced with enhancement in nutrient levels as evidenced in the treatment (M3) at 100<sup>th</sup> and 135<sup>th</sup> day of sowing involving combination of organic manure FYM (25t ha<sup>-1</sup>)inorganic fertilizers (60:60:30 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>), *Azospirillum* at 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and *Phosphobacteria* 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. This might be due to sufficient quantity of nutrients made available in the treated plots and also increased level of uptake of nutrients, which resulted in better growth The findings are in accordance with results of Wilox, (1967) in tomato and Kundu and Gaur, (1980) in potato. Bhardwaj, (1991) and Singh *et al.* (1992) also recorded similar responses in the plant height of onion due to addition of nutrients.

However, wider spacing of  $45 \times 15$ cm accounted for the higher number of leaves and number of shoots at  $100^{\text{th}}$  and  $135^{\text{th}}$  day of sowing in the present study, which are in accordance with the results of Singh *et al.* (1993), who also recorded increased number of leaves and number of shoots at wider spacing of  $45\times15$  cm at  $100^{\text{th}}$  and  $135^{\text{th}}$  day of sowing. These results might be due to overcrowding and mutual shading of plants.

The treatment M3, namely, 60:60:30 kg NPK +25 t FYM

+ 2kg *Azospirillum* + 2 kg *Phosphobacteria* ha<sup>-1</sup> also exhibited an increase in number of leaves and number of shoots per plant with higher levels of nutrient application followed by the treatment combination of 40:60:30 kg NPK +25t FYM + 2 kg *Azospirillum* + 2 kg *Phosphobacteria* ha<sup>-1</sup>(T4). This might be due to an enhanced rate of release of nitrogen from urea, FYM and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by *Azospirillum* which, in turn might have resulted in the increased production of leaves. This finding corroborated the results of Parthiban *et. al.* (1992) in tuberose and Paramaguru and Natarajan (1992) in chilli.

The results on the effect of spacing and nutrients on flowering and shape index of multiplier onion are presented in table 4. The plant density and nutrient combinations did not influence significantly the commencement of flowering and days taken to 50 per cent flowering of bulbs. The influence of nutrients on delaying the initiation of flowering was due to prolonged vegetative phase. These results are in accordance with the findings of Shanthi and Balakrishnan (1989) and Baloch *et.al.*(1991) in onion.

The wider spacing of 45 x 15 cm recorded greater bulb diameters. The treatment S3 (60:60:30 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, FYM@25t ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Azospirillum* @ 2kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and *Phosphobacteria* @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded maximum bulb diameter. The higher spacing between plants (45 x 15 cm ) help lower plant population because of less nutrient competition and free aeration which would have promoted better growth of plants and higher bulb diameter. While reporting similar findings in onion Barker (1975) and Patil *et al.* (1984) suggested that this might be due to the presence of better source sink relationship, which would

have diverted and stored the photosynthesis in the bulbs leading to higher yield.

An increase in bulb length and shape index of bulb were obtained in the treatments planted with closer spacing of 45x5 cm (M1) (Tables 4 and 5). Among them, higher bulb length and shape index were registered in the plots applied with the nutrients *viz.*, 60: 60: 30 Kg of NPK, 25 t of FYM+2kg of *Azospirillum* and 2 kg of *Phosphobacteria* per hectare (S3). The closer spacing with optimal supply of nutrients might have helped increasing the growth of leaves which in turn might have enhanced photosynthesis and produced more metabolites and photo assimilates and their further diversion to the developing bulbs might have resulted in increased bulb length and shape index (Gurubatham, 1989). Similar findings were observed by Chinnasamy (1967) and Singh *et al.* (1993) in onion which proved further that this could be due to better nourishment and efficient utilization of nutrients.

The results on the effect of spacing and nutrients on

**Table 4** Effect of spacing and nutrients on flowering and shape index of multiplier onion, *A. cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don.

Treatments	Days to	flower i	nitiation		Days to	50% flo	wering		Shape	index		
	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean
S <sub>1</sub>	98.15	101.91	104.54	101.53	105.56	101.83	105.92	114.44	0.87	0.89	0.76	0.59
$S_2$	104.13	106.35	107.12	105.87	110.81	105.07	115.75	110.54	0.85	0.85	0.65	0.79
$S_3$	114.47	118.50	127.25	120.07	130.75	129.50	127.50	129.25	1.09	1.12	0.97	1.06
$S_4$	108.02	109.22	109.58	108.94	117.15	109.75	109.51	112.14	0.87	0.88	0.76	0.83
$S_5$	105.84	105.13	105.38	105.45	108.08	108.00	106.12	107.40	0.85	0.85	0.66	0.78
$S_6$	108.05	107.35	109.50	108.30	107.57	108.00	106.72	107.43	0.82	0.81	0.62	0.75
$S_7$	103.63	105.89	106.08	105.20	106.50	103.50	104.29	104.76	0.80	0.78	0.57	0.71
$S_8$	107.10	107.32	107.52	107.65	107.91	101.15	101.84	103.63	0.77	0.73	0.52	0.67
$S_9$	103.59	104.28	106.27	104.71	105.32	101.92	101.43	102.89	0.76	0.70	0.48	0.64
$S_{10}$	105.43	106.95	106.38	106.25	101.75	101.52	101.83	101.70	0.70	0.64	0.44	0.84
Mean	105.84	107.29	109.06	107.40	111.14	108.02	109.09	109.42	0.84	0.82	0.64	0.77
	SE	CD			SE	CD			SE	CD		
Main	2.32	NS			0.68	NS			0.005	0.010		
plot(M)												
Sub plot	0.32	NS			0.25	NS			0.003	0.007		
(S)												
MXS	3.84	NS			1.15	NS			0.009	0.019		
S XM	5.58	NS			1.85	NS			0.012	0.010		

Main Plot – Spacing (M) Sub Plot – Nutrients (S) NS - Not Significant (P > 0.05)

**Table 5** Effect of spacing and nutrients on bulb length and bulb diameter of multiplier onion, *A. cepa* L. var. *aggregatum* Don.

Treatm ents	E	Bulb le	ngth(c	m )	Bulb diameter(cm)					
i leatin ents	M 1	M 2	M 3	M ean	M 1	M 2	S 3	M ean		
S 1	2.41	2.42	2.23	2.35	2.73	2.51	2.63	2.62		
S <sub>2</sub>	3.68	3.35	2.82	3.28	3.98	6.50	8.75	6.41		
S 3	5.70	4.47	4.18	4.78	5.77	8.41	10.85	8.34		
S 4	4.85	4.56	3.92	4.44	5.02	7.55	10.52	7.69		
S 5	4.62	4.24	3.54	4.13	4.82	7.24	9.68	7.24		
S 6	4.63	4.32	3.53	4.16	4.85	7.33	9.66	7.28		
S 7	3.61	3.33	3.62	3.52	3.85	6.87	9.21	6.64		
S 8	3.72	3.33	3.10	3.38	3.99	6.74	8.97	6.56		
S 9	3.44	3.04	2.61	3.03	3.76	6.21	8.60	6.19		
S 10	3.50	2.91	2.55	2.98	3.88	5.38	8.63	5.96		
M ean	4.01	3.59	3.11	3.60	4.26	6.47	8.75	6.49		
	S E	СD			S E	СD		_		
Main plot (M)	0.14	0.29			0.14	0.29				
Sub plot(S)	0.02	0.05			0.02	0.05				
M X S	0.24	N S			0.24	N S				
S X M	0.25	N S			0.25	N S				

Main Plot – Spacing (M) Sub Plot – Nutrients (S) NS - Not Significant (P > 0.05)

bulb length and bulb diameter of multiplier onion are presented in the table 5. All the treatments exerted significant influences on the bulb characters, which exibited an increasing trend with enhancements in the level of nutrients. But, the interaction effect of spacing and nutrients exhibited no significant influence on the days taken for flower initiation and days to 50 per cent flowering. While studying the interaction effect, it revealed that application of 60: 60: 30 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, FYM @ 25 t ha-1, Azospirillum @ 2 kg ha-1 and Phosphobacteria @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with the closest spacing of 45x5 cm recorded greater bulb length (5.70cm) and shape index (1.09%). In general, the closest spacing (45 x5 cm) registered the maximum bulb length and shape index, while the widest spacing  $(45 \times 15 \text{ cm})$  recorded the greater bulb diameter.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are due to Professor Dr.S.Thamburaj, Former Dean, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore for providing land and laboratory facilities during the study period. The authors are highly indebted to Dr.S.Natarajan, Dean, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periakulam for his support and encouragement during the study period and preparation of manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Baloch, M.A., Baloch, A.F., Ansari, A.H.G. and Dayym, S.M. 1991. Growth and yield response of onion to different nitrogen and potassium fertilizer combination levels. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 9: 63-66.
- Banko, T.J. 1984. Medium amendment plus watering system may improve rooting. *American Nursery Man.* 5: 51-53.
- Barker, A.V. 1975. Organic *vs* inorganic nutrition and horticultural crop quality. *Hort. Sci.* 10: 50-53.
- Bhardwaj, M.L. 1991. Influence of bulb size and plant spacing on seed production in onion. *Prog. Hort.* 23: 76-79.
- Chinnasamy, G.N. 1967. A note on the effect of organic and inorganic manures on earliness of fruiting in tomatoes. *Madras Agric. J.* 54: 144-146.
- Gurubatham, J. 1989. Studies on the influence of biofertilizers on growth, bulb and seed yield and storage behaviour of bellary onion (*Allium cepa* L.) CV.N.53. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. Coimbatore, India.
- Kundu,B.S. and Gaur,A.C. 1980. Effect of *Phosphobacteria* on the yield and Phosphate of potato crop. *Curr. Sci.* 49: 159-161.
- Pall, R. and Padda, D.S. 1972. Effect of nitrogen, plant spacing and size of mother bulb on growth and yield of seed crop of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Indian J. Hort.* 8 : 184–189.
- Paramaguru, P. and Natarajan, S. 1992. Effect of *Azospirillum* on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) grown under semi-dry condition. *South Indian Hort.* 41: 80-83.

- Parthiban, S., Abdul khader, M.D. and Thamburaj, S. 1992. Effect of N,P and K on growth and development of tuberose (*Polyanthus tuberose* Linn.). South Indian Hort. 40: 166-171.
- Patil, P.Y., Manohar, V.K. and Patil, V.K. 1984. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on the bulb size of onion. *Punjab Krishi Vidyapeeth Research* 8: 67-68.
- Shanthi, K. and Balakrishnan, R. 1989. Effect of nitrogen, Spacing and MH on growth yield and drymatter production of onion (*Allium cepa* L. var. aggregatum Don.) cv. MDU.1. South Indian Hort. 37: 223-226.
- Singh, S.K., Rajput, C.B.S. and Singh, S.P. 1992. Effect of nitrogen, gibberrellic acid and benzyladenine on yield and quality of onion. *Prog. Hort.* 24: 66-69.
- Singh, R.K.J., Singh, T. and Singh, S.B. 1993. Response of Rabi onion (*Allium cepa* Linn.) to nitrogen and potassium application of growth and yield. *Veg. Sci.* 20: 18-21.
- Wilox, G.E. 1967. Effect of phosphorus on tomato growth and production. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 85: 4-9.